# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

PARKER PELHAM, Individually and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

VBIT TECHNOLOGIES CORP., VBIT MINING LLC, VBIT DC CORP., ADVANCED MINING GROUP, DANH CONG VO a/k/a DON VO, PHUONG D VO a/k/a KATIE VO, SEAN TU, JIN GAO, and LILLIAN ZHOU,

Defendants.

Case No: 23-cv-162-JLH-SRF

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED** 

# AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATIONS OF THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS

Lead Plaintiff Alisha McKellar ("Lead Plaintiff" or "Ms. McKellar") and named plaintiffs Jin Hyuk Ho ("Mr. Ho"), Terence Brown ("Mr. Brown"), David Veney ("Mr. Veney"), and Jake Washburn ("Mr. Washburn" and, collectively with Ms. McKellar, Mr. Ho, Mr. Brown, and Mr. Veney, "Plaintiffs"), individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, allege the following against Defendants VBit Technologies Corp. ("VBit Tech"), VBit Mining LLC ("VBit Mining"), VBit DC Corp. ("VBit DC") Advanced Mining Group ("Advanced Mining" and,

collectively with VBit Tech and VBit Mining, the "Company Defendants"), Danh Cong Vo a/k/a Don Vo ("Mr. Vo"), Phuong D Vo a/k/a Katie Vo ("Ms. Vo"), Sean Tu ("Mr. Tu"), Jin Gao ("Mr. Gao"), and Lillian Zhou ("Ms. Zhou" and, collectively with Mr. Vo, Ms. Vo, Mr. Tu, and Mr. Gao, the "Individual Defendants"). The Company Defendants and the Individual Defendants together are referred to as "Defendants." Plaintiffs' allegations herein are based upon personal knowledge as to Plaintiffs' own acts and upon information and belief as to all other matters based on the investigation conducted by and through Plaintiffs' attorneys. This investigation included, among other things: VBit Tech's and Advanced Mining's press releases and other public statements and/or announcements; public information regarding VBit and Advanced Mining, including information posted on VBit's website and, later, Advanced Mining's website; and documents filed in Dettmering et al. v. VBit Technologies Corp. et al., Case No. 1:22-cv-01482-JLH-SRF (D. Del.) (the "RICO Case"). In the RICO case, Defendants Mr. Gao and Ms. Vo have asserted that the Mining Contracts (defined below) are securities. Dettmering v. VBit Technologies Corp., et al., Case No. 1:22-cv-01482-JLH-SRF, Dkt. Nos. 141, at 15-16; 146, at 5-10 (D. Del.). Therefore, Defendants Mr. Gao and Ms. Vo are judicially estopped from asserting otherwise in this case. Plaintiffs believe that substantial additional evidentiary support will exist for the allegations set forth herein after a reasonable opportunity for discovery.

#### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. Plaintiffs bring this securities class action on behalf of all persons or entities who purchased VBit's unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts promising the sales, leasing, and servicing of specialized computer hardware to produce Bitcoins for customers (the "VBit Mining Contracts" or "Mining Contracts") (the "Class"). These investment contracts were securities that were not properly registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") or state regulators. To make matters worse, rather than using the proceeds from the unregistered securities to truly mine Bitcoin and pay it out to Plaintiffs and Class members, Defendants instead operated a Ponzi scheme that purportedly paid out the promised Bitcoin only as new Class members and victims bought in.
- 2. Bitcoin mining is a process that requires powerful computers and significant electrical power. As compensation for performing the resource-intensive computing "proof of work" necessary to verify the transactions on Bitcoin's blockchain, Bitcoin "miners" receive block rewards in the form of Bitcoin.
- 3. By design, only 21 million Bitcoins will ever be created, and more than 19 million Bitcoins have already been mined. This limited supply drives a fear of missing out on a lucrative business opportunity. Moreover, approximately every four years, the block reward is cut in half, meaning that successful miners receive half of the amount of Bitcoin.

- 4. The Bitcoin price is volatile because it is highly susceptible to fluctuating demand, changing global regulations, and social media hype. Since its inception, Bitcoin has seen a meteoric rise in value. Bitcoin traded at \$0.09 per Bitcoin in 2010 and reached a high of approximately \$69,000 in November 2021. Since then, the price of one Bitcoin has ranged from its high of \$69,000 in November of 2021 to as low as approximately \$16,000.
- 5. In years past, a standard individual computer could successfully mine Bitcoin. Now, the computing power necessary to mine has expanded greatly as the verification process has evolved. Today, most Bitcoin mining takes place in large "data centers" that store and power vast sets of computers containing application specific integrated circuits, or ASICS, that do the mining. These data centers generally operate where electricity is inexpensive and in colder climates so that additional energy is not required to cool the computer hardware.
- 6. While Bitcoin mining has been highly profitable for some companies and individuals, Defendants used it as a vehicle to prey on cryptocurrency consumers and sell unregistered securities. As alleged herein, Defendants entered into a scheme to recruit Plaintiffs and the members of the Class to enter into investment contracts to purchase Bitcoin mining packages that Defendants

promised would enable them to mine Bitcoin.<sup>1</sup> In soliciting the unregistered securities to Plaintiffs and the Class, Defendants made fraudulent statements and promotions about the returns that investors would receive and the true use of the proceeds of the sale.

- 7. Plaintiffs entered into investment contract agreements with the Company Defendants whereby Defendants would purportedly host physical Bitcoin mining equipment at facilities it owned, which in turn would allow Plaintiffs to mine and procure Bitcoin or other cryptocurrencies. Plaintiffs invested U.S. dollars and Bitcoin with Defendants in exchange for Defendants' purported equipment and "hosting" services. Defendants raised millions of dollars through the sale of these unregistered securities in violation of the registration provisions of the federal securities laws, thereby funding the Company Defendants' operation and enriching the Individual Defendants.
- 8. Defendants promised that through these mining packages, Plaintiffs and the Class would lease (with the option to eventually purchase) computer hardware that was hosted in a facility maintained by Defendants, where the hardware would efficiently mine Bitcoin or other cryptocurrencies for Plaintiffs and

<sup>1</sup> A copy of Defendants' uniform "Payment and Hosting Agreement Contract" (the "Contract") is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. The promises made by Defendants are also encompassed in the FAQ on the Advanced Mining website (<a href="https://www.advancedmining.io/faqs/">https://www.advancedmining.io/faqs/</a>) (last visited July 11, 2024), which explains in more detail the nature of the

supposed hardware being purchased and the mechanisms for customers to receive their Bitcoins.

5

the Class. Over time, Plaintiffs and the Class would accumulate Bitcoin or other cryptocurrencies in their "virtual wallets."

- 9. When the price of Bitcoin plummeted from a high of nearly \$69,000 in November 2021 to almost half that, around \$35,000, in January 2022, Defendants realized they could no longer sustain their house of cards.
- 10. In order to conceal their original fraud and attempt to avoid responsibility for the impending collapse, Defendants on January 31, 2022 "sold" VBit Tech to Advanced Mining, a supposed "Asian-based company" with "global" cryptocurrency operations. However, there is no evidence that Advanced Mining existed prior to the "sale" or that Advanced Mining has ever existed as a legitimate business, nor have Defendants established that Advanced Mining's announced CEO Lillian Zhou actually exists. And as recently as October 18, 2022, Defendants sent an email to customers indicating that VBit Tech is merely doing business as Advanced Mining.
- 11. In or about June 2022, Plaintiffs discovered that they were unable to make withdrawals of Bitcoin from their "virtual wallet," which was located in an online dashboard hosted by Defendants. While Defendants blamed the delays on technical issues, it soon became apparent that something was seriously wrong. Plaintiffs' virtual wallets were frozen and completely prevented Plaintiffs from

accessing the valuable Bitcoin that they supposedly held. Plaintiffs were also unable to access the computer hardware that they purportedly owned or were leasing.

- 12. In reality, Plaintiffs' individual virtual wallet appears to have been a façade. Defendants were not offering and maintaining individualized, hardware-hosted mining services to Plaintiffs as represented. Instead, Defendants were engaged in "cloud mining," or a similar arrangement whereby customers' computing power dubbed a "hash rate" is pooled together and wholly unrelated to the physical products and service they are purportedly being sold.
- 13. Plaintiffs and Class members were promised individualized, specialized, cutting-edge computer technology touted by Defendants as being capable of producing hefty returns. Plaintiffs never received the individualized mining equipment and services that they paid for; rather, Plaintiffs' investments were pooled together with investments from other customers. The "Bitcoins" appearing in Plaintiffs' "virtual wallet" were mere investment returns arbitrarily determined by Defendants, funded by moving funds from more recent customers into the accounts of earlier-in-time customers to give the false appearance of a prosperous business.
- 14. The contractual agreements at issue and the products and services sold thereunder, *i.e.*, Defendants' "hosting services" and "mining equipment," constitute investment contracts and securities under  $\S 3(a)(10)$  of the Securities Exchange Act

of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78c, because they are investments in common ventures premised on a reasonable expectation of profits to be derived primarily from the entrepreneurial or managerial efforts of others. Further, the Mining Contracts are securities under the test set forth in *Reves v. Ernst & Young*, 494 U.S. 56, 64-66 (1990), and its progeny. The Mining Contracts also have all the traditional hallmarks of a security, as reflected in *SEC v. W.J. Howey Co.*, 328 U.S. 293 (1946), and subsequent case law.

15. Federal securities laws require any security that is offered or sold to be registered with the SEC. The Mining Contracts were not registered with the SEC or any other securities regulatory authority. The failure to register the Mining Contracts subjected investors to significant risks. The securities laws are designed to protect the public by requiring various disclosures so that investors can better understand the security that is being offered or sold, as well as risks associated with investment in that security. Absent the disclosures required by law about those efforts and the progress and prospects of the enterprise, significant informational asymmetries may exist between the management and promoters of the enterprise on the one hand, and investors and prospective investors on the other hand. The reduction of these information asymmetries through required disclosures protects investors and is one of the primary purposes of the securities laws.

16. Defendants have sold unregistered securities in violation of the Securities Act of 1933 ("Securities Act"). Moreover, Defendants have engaged in securities fraud in violation of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act"). Further, the Individual Defendants are all culpable participants in Defendants' fraudulent scheme, committing acts of misfeasance such that the Individual Defendants should be held personally liable for the misconduct of the Company Defendants. Plaintiffs, by this action, seek rescission of the investment contracts they entered into with Defendants or rescissory damages, as well as for damages suffered due to the fraudulent conduct complained of herein. Defendants' inability to honor investor withdrawals underscores investors' reliance on the efforts of Defendants to provide the expected profits. Ultimately, Defendants' efforts fell short, and it is Plaintiffs and the Class that bore the cost of that failure.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

17. This Complaint is filed, and these proceedings are instituted, to recover damages and obtain other relief that Plaintiff has sustained due to Defendants' unregistered and unqualified offers and sales of securities in violation of §§5, 12(a)(1), and 15 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§77e, 77l, and 77o. The claims asserted herein also arise under and pursuant to §§10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §78j(b).

- 18. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over claims under the Securities Act pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §78aa and 28 U.S.C. §1331 and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1331 and §27 of the Exchange Act.
- 19. Venue is proper in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware because the underlying investment contracts entered into by the parties require that venue shall be located in this District.
- 20. In connection with the acts alleged by this Complaint, Defendants used interstate commerce to advance their fraudulent scheme.

#### **PARTIES**

#### **Plaintiffs**

- 21. Lead Plaintiff Alisha McKellar purchased unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts from Defendants during the Class Period<sup>2</sup> and suffered investment losses as a result of Defendants' conduct. Lead Plaintiff's PSLRA certification on file with the Court (Dkt. No. 9-2) is incorporated by referenced herein.
- 22. Named plaintiff Jin Hyuk Ho purchased unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts from Defendants during the Class Period and suffered

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Class Period is defined as January 1, 2019, through the date of this filing, inclusive. Plaintiffs reserve the right to expand or amend the Class Definition or Period based on discovery produced in this matter.

investment losses as a result of Defendants' conduct. Mr. Ho's PSLRA certification is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.

- 23. Named plaintiff Terence Brown purchased unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts from Defendants during the Class Period and suffered investment losses as a result of Defendants' conduct. Mr. Brown's PSLRA certification is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 24. Named plaintiff David Veney purchased unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts from Defendants during the Class Period and suffered investment losses as a result of Defendants' conduct. Mr. Veney's PSLRA certification is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.
- 25. Named plaintiff Jake Washburn purchased unregistered securities in the form of investment contracts from Defendants during the Class Period and suffered investment losses as a result of Defendants' conduct. Mr. Washburn's PSLRA certification is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein.

# **Company Defendants**

- 26. Defendant VBit Tech is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 1625 Washington Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146.
- 27. Defendant VBit Mining is a Delaware limited liability company with its principal place of business located at 1625 Washington Avenue, Philadelphia,

Pennsylvania 19146. According to Defendants, VBit Mining is a direct subsidiary of VBit Tech.

- 28. Defendant VBit DC is a subsidiary of VBit Tech. Upon information and belief, Defendant Mr. Gao owned a 17% stake in VBit DC. VBit DC owns a building and land in Columbia Falls, Montana that VBit Tech used for Bitcoin mining. VBit DC is a Delaware corporation with its principal place of business located at 1625 Washington Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146. VBit DC also used Ms. Vo's home address as its mailing address.
- 29. Defendant Advanced Mining is an "Asian-based," foreign entity whose activities either are located at 1625 Washington Avenue, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19146, and/or who operates as the alter ego or instrumentality of VBit Tech, VBit Mining, VBit DC, and/or the Individual Defendants.

#### **Individual Defendants**

- 30. Defendant Dahn Cong Vo a/k/a Don Vo was the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") of VBit Tech and VBit Mining until in or around January 2022. Mr. Vo exercised control over the Company Defendants and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of Mining Contract investments to the public.
- 31. Defendant Phuong D Vo a/k/a Katie Vo is an executive who was touted as an integral part of VBit's formation and development. Ms. Vo is married to Mr.

Vo. Ms. Vo is credited with inspiring Mr. Vo to launch VBit. Ms. Vo was the "Operations Director" at VBit. Her duties in that role included training and overseeing the administrative support team that was responsible for responding to customer support requests. Ms. Vo exercised control over the Company Defendants and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of Mining Contract investments to the public.

- 32. Defendant Sean Tu is the former Chief Technology Officer ("CTO") and Chief Operating Officer ("COO") of VBit Tech and VBit Mining. Mr. Tu also held himself out as the COO of Advanced Mining until January 2023, when he transitioned to a consulting role. Mr. Tu exercised control over the Company Defendants and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of Mining Contract investments to the public.
- 33. Defendant Jin Gao is a co-founder of VBit and held himself out as the Vice Chairman of Advanced Mining and previously held the position of Vice President of VBit. Mr. Gao exercised control over the Company Defendants and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of Mining Contract investments to the public. Mr. Gao had an active role in promoting VBit October video and in 3, 2020 YouTube (available an at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=biF65sUW4Ug) (last visited July 11, 2024), Mr. Gao is described as the "face of the company." Mr. Gao directly or indirectly

owns the building at 1625 Washington Avenue that VBit and purportedly Advanced Mining used as their headquarters.

- 34. Defendant Lillian Zhou is purportedly the current CEO of Advanced Mining. Defendant Zhou purportedly exercised control over the Company Defendants and directed and/or authorized, directly or indirectly, the sale and solicitation of Mining Contract investments to the public. Upon information and belief, Plaintiffs allege that Mr. Vo continued to run VBit's operations following the sham Advanced Mining transaction and that beginning in early 2022 Mr. Vo assumed the identity of Lillian Zhou as a means to perpetrate the fraud.
- 35. Mr. Vo orchestrated the charade of pretending to be someone else by using the email addresses, l.zhou@vbittech.com and ceo@vbittech.com, and by only agreeing to communicate with other VBit employees and officers (including Sean Tu) and VBit's vendors, by email.
  - 36. Each of the Individual Defendants:
    - (a) directly participated in the management of the Company Defendants;
    - (b) was directly involved in the day-to-day operations of the Company Defendants at the highest levels;
    - (c) was privy to confidential proprietary information concerning theCompany Defendants and its business and operations;

- (d) was directly or indirectly involved in drafting, producing, reviewing and/or disseminating the false and misleading statements and information alleged herein;
- (e) was directly or indirectly involved in the oversight or implementation of the Company Defendants' internal controls;
- (f) was aware of or recklessly disregarded the fact that the false and misleading statements were being issued concerning the Company Defendants; and/or
- (g) approved or ratified these statements in violation of the federal securities laws.
- 37. The Company Defendants are liable for the acts of the Individual Defendants and their employees under the doctrine of *respondeat superior* and common law principles of agency because all of the wrongful acts complained of herein were carried out within the scope of their employment.
- 38. The scienter of the Individual Defendants and other employees and agents of the Company Defendants is similarly imputed to Company Defendants under *respondeat superior* and agency principles.

# SUBSTANTIVE ALLEGATIONS VBit, Advanced Mining, and Their Principals

39. A cryptocurrency is a digital asset designed to work as a medium of exchange or a store of value or both. Cryptocurrencies leverage a variety of

cryptographic principles to secure transactions, control the creation of additional units, and verify the transfer of the underlying digital assets.

- 40. Created in 2009, Bitcoin was the world's first decentralized cryptocurrency. With a current market capitalization of approximately \$1.2 trillion, Bitcoin is also the largest and most popular cryptocurrency.
- 41. One of the main features that Bitcoin popularized was the use of a distributed ledger to track the ownership and transfer of every Bitcoin in existence. This distributed ledger is known as a blockchain. Blockchains are a central technical commonality across most cryptocurrencies.
- 42. There are two main ways to obtain Bitcoin. One way is to purchase Bitcoin from an exchange. The other way is to participate in the framework of incentives to validate the transactions on the blockchain, dubbed the "Proof of Work" mechanism. Users who expend resources to validate the blockchain get rewarded with newly minted Bitcoin. This process is colloquially referred to as "mining" for Proof of Work blockchains like Bitcoin. The measure of computing power that determines how quickly a computer can mine Bitcoin is dubbed the "hashrate."
- 43. Defendant Mr. Vo started VBit Tech and VBit Mining (collectively, "VBit") in 2018 and lured retail customers with promises that they could mine

Bitcoin like large institutional miners who run entire facilities dedicated to Bitcoin mining and operate where electricity is inexpensive.

- 44. Defendant Danh Vo distinguished VBit with the claim he could give retail investors the ability to participate in the infrastructure of the cryptocurrency industry without all the risk associated with investing directly in the volatile cryptocurrency itself like Bitcoin.
- 45. VBit offered two primary products and services: (a) computer hardware, or "hashboards" specialized for Bitcoin mining, and (b) so-called "hosting" services, which would enable customers to mine Bitcoin with little or no effort.
- 46. VBit advertised on its website, "[y]ou don't need to do anything regarding the setup or maintenance of your hardware. We take care of logistics, installing and updating software, providing affordable electricity, and keeping your equipment cool and in working order." Mining Shop, vbitmining.com/mining-shop/, Sept. 19, 2021, Internet Archive, https://web.archive.org/web/20210919232007/https://www.vbitmining.com/mining-shop/ (last visited July 11, 2024).
- 47. Through marketing and advertising, VBit represented to Plaintiffs and the Class that it "operate[s] some of the largest and most efficient mining facilities in the world, with unprecedented access to clean, cheap power and expert staff."

Vbitmining.com, Dec. 24, 2021, Internet Archive, <a href="https://web.archive.org/web/20211224100044/https://www.vbitmining.com/">https://web.archive.org/web/20211224100044/https://www.vbitmining.com/</a> (last visited July 11, 2024).

- 48. Thus, VBit advertised that it would host its customers' individualized computer hardware in its data centers and that its customers would control the extent of their participation in the mining of Bitcoin, and in exchange the customers would be responsible for "hosting" costs.
- 49. VBit sold several mining packages, some of which included a set period of hosting with the upfront price of the package, while others required a down payment followed by monthly hosting charges.
- 50. Though VBit's ownership and operational structure is murky, upon information and belief, Mr. Vo co-founded VBit with Mr. Gao and was VBit's Chief Executive Officer from its founding in 2018 through January 2022.
- 51. Advanced Mining, which now purportedly owns VBit, similarly claims on its website that it can provide customers the opportunity to purchase their personal Bitcoin mining equipment with "custom designed data centers," the "cheapest electricity rates," and "efficient mining systems." Why Advanced Mining, <a href="https://www.advancedmining.io/how-mining-works/">https://www.advancedmining.io/how-mining-works/</a> (last visited July 11, 2024).

- 52. Advanced Mining's website further advertises that Bitcoin mining previously required "a huge amount of know-how, but now anyone can leverage [Advanced Mining's] experts' skills relieving you of setup and maintenance, so you can focus on the more important things to ensure a profitable operation." *Id.* Advanced Mining advertises that it is "Highly Proven & Trusted. Built by technology and financial experts, our US-based operations are designed for clients who seek a reliable partner. Our customer support is staffed by proven mining specialists. Any questions or concerns? Just call or write!" *Id.*
- 53. Mr. Gao is also a 17% shareholder and co-founder of VBit DC, a subsidiary of VBit Tech that raised money to operate Bitcoin mining facilities. VBit DC owns a building and land in Columbia Falls, Montana that VBit Tech used for Bitcoin mining. Additionally, Mr. Gao directly or indirectly owns the building at 1625 Washington Avenue that VBit and purportedly Advanced Mining used as their headquarters.
- 54. In a speech given at a 2019 gala to celebrate VBit's first year, Ms. Vo referred to VBit as her "child." She explained that VBit "is not something that can be done with Katie or Don alone." She also provided detailed information about the company's increasing, if fraudulent, sales and the types of packages that victims were purchasing, showing a deep and detailed understanding of the operation of the company.

- 55. In her role, Ms. Vo operated the main interface between VBit and customers and was responsible for misrepresentations made to customers by the VBit support team to maintain the fraudulent scheme. Following the purported sale of VBit to Advanced Mining in early 2022, Ms. Vo continued in her role as Operations Director at Advanced Mining, a position that would report directly to the COO according to internal documents from that time.
- 56. Around the same time as the fraudulent Advanced Mining transaction, Don Vo paid Ms. Vo significant sums in cryptocurrency from the account that Mr. Vo used to manage VBit's cryptocurrency assets.

# **VBit's Mining Contracts**

- 57. Plaintiffs and Class members entered into the Mining Contract with VBit and Advanced Mining. The Mining Contract was drafted by Defendants, is uniform and applies consistently to all Class members.
- 58. Defendants also made promises about the nature of the products and services provided by the Contract on their website's FAQ section.
- 59. Defendants offer and currently advertise different mining packages ranging from "Silver" on the low end (purportedly 33,333 in giga hash per second

power ("GH/s") for \$2,443) to "Black Diamond" on the high end (purportedly 800,000 GH/s for \$58,619).<sup>3</sup>

60. Under the Contract, VBit and Advanced Mining represented that they would be utilizing individualized mining equipment for the benefit of Plaintiffs and the Class:

The first monthly payment will be due on the first of the month following 30 days after the first day *your equipment is installed and actively running*.

Ex. 1, at 1 (emphasis added).

1.1. Facility. Service Provider will provide server hosting facility, electrical power, and Internet access to Customer at Service Provider's and partner facilities (the "Facility") for the purposes of installing, maintaining, and operating Customer's leased or owned servers and ASIC chips (the "Equipment"), which may be updated from time to time to add or delete Equipment with written notification to the Customer.

Ex. 1, at 4 (emphasis added).

- 61. The Contracts also provided that the customers had the ability to allocate their computational power to their desired mining pool and gave them the right to change their desired pool at any time. *Id.*, at 7.
- 62. A mining pool is a group of cryptocurrency miners who combine their computational resources over a network to strengthen the probability of successfully

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Prices for Defendants' mining packages have fluctuated over time and generally have moved up and down with the price of Bitcoin. The significant drop in the price of Bitcoin during 2022 may explain why the current advertised price of a mining package is different from the prices that Plaintiffs paid for their mining packages.

mining for cryptocurrency. A mining pool could include other VBit customers, but it also might not.

The FAQ on Defendants' website emphasizes the physical and 63. customer-specific nature of the hardware being purchased:

#### Is Advanced Mining a cloud mining company?

No, Advanced Mining is a hardware reseller offering hosting services. The consumer purchases actual ASIC hardware and NOT a cloud mining contract. Customers can choose to utilize our superior hosting services or have their hardware shipped to them, subject to shipping and handling charges. Please refer to our Terms and Conditions for details.

64. Under the Mining Contract, Plaintiffs leased Bitcoin mining equipment from VBit/Advanced Mining, but they also had the option to purchase the equipment for \$1, plus shipping and handling fees:

# Use and leasing rights with a buyout option to:

**Black Diamond** Package consisting of dedicated Antminer S19 series computer server hashboards with an average of 800,000 GH/s computer computational power, hereafter known as "Equipment".

Use and leasing term length will be equivalent to the Hosting Term lengths held by Customer. Customer can execute buyout option on Equipment referenced above at any time up to 30 days after the expiration of any Hosting Term lengths held by Customer for applicable equipment by submitting a written request of buyout execution and shipment of said equipment. Upon such request, Customer shall pay the shipping and handling fee described in section 2.2 of this agreement in additional [sic] to a \$1.00 USD buyout and the balance of all payments described above.

- 65. The VBit website also provides detailed specifications on the exact hardware that is included in each package (<a href="https://www.advancedmining.io/antminer-s19j-pro-specifications/">https://www.advancedmining.io/antminer-s19j-pro-specifications/</a>) (last visited July 11, 2024), making clear that each package included customer-specific hardware.
- 66. Under the Mining Contract, Defendants had total control over Plaintiffs' equipment. See id. at § 6 (titled "Removals and Relocation of Equipment").
- 67. As part of the Mining Contract, Defendants promised to host the equipment purchased by Plaintiffs and Class members. The website FAQ explains that "our hosting services include regular maintenance and cleaning by dedicated staff at our data centers." The website FAQ also encourages customers to utilize the hosting services by explaining the economies of scale related to operating such Bitcoin mining hardware:

# Why should I host my miners with AM instead of my own facility?

Bitcoin mining computer hardware requires technical knowledge to setup, a well ventilated space and huge amounts of ongoing electricity at a high voltage to operate. You will incur very high fees to set-up the space and high ongoing electricity bills to operate, not to mention the loud noise these computers generate. So just let us worry about setting it up and maintaining it for you while you decide on where to distribute your hashpower and collect your rewards!

Advanced Mining FAQ: Hardware and Hosting, <a href="https://www.advancedmining.io/faqs/">https://www.advancedmining.io/faqs/</a> (last visited July 11, 2024)

68. The website FAQ is also where Defendants explain how customers should have been able to account for the Bitcoins that were supposedly being mined on their leased or purchased hardware hosted by the Defendants:

#### How do I know what I'm really mining?

With the amount of hash-power that you received when you purchase your mining package, you will be able to use any number of public calculators that you are able to find on Google and plug in the amount of hash-power in the mining calculator of your choice. The calculator will let you know the amount of Bitcoin you should be mining per day.

Id.

69. The website FAQ also explained how customers would be able to access the Bitcoins that were supposedly being mined on their equipment:

#### How will I receive my profits and where will be stored?

All mined Bitcoins are distributed directly into your [Advanced Mining] Wallet.

Id.

70. The FAQs answered additional questions that further explained the services offered by VBit and the products it sold:

# What happens if my machine fails?

Antminers are generally expected to last around 4-6 years. However, our hosting services include regular maintenance and cleaning by dedicated staff at our data centers. Furthermore, we offer 1 warranty replacement for each piece of hardware during the total term of your hosting services with us.

Id.

#### What happens when my hosting is done?

If your hosting term has ended and your hardware is still functional, you will be contacted with the options to either renew your hosting or have the hardware shipped directly to you (fees will apply). Hosting rates will vary depending on the changing costs of electricity in the future.

Id.

#### Can I host my equipment myself?

Yes, you may purchase hardware at cost and have it shipped directly to you for shipping and handling fees.

Id.

# **VBit Depended on New Victims**

- 71. VBit grew its base to approximately 15,000 customers worldwide as of June 2022. VBit achieved this rapid growth by using multi-level marketing, turning its customers into a sales force, and incentivizing them to recruit new VBit customers. VBit recruited customers to promote its products by using word of mouth and to simplify the complexities of cryptocurrency and the blockchain. VBit referred to its customer recruiters as "affiliates."
- 72. VBit employees posted videos on YouTube about how to recruit affiliates to increase the hardware and computing power available to customers to increase their mining payouts. *See e.g.* VBit Tech Team PH Compensation Plan, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BPPCT KkjUs (last visited July 11, 2024).

73. When VBit affiliates recruited new VBit customers "under them," the recruiting affiliate received a referral commission in cash or Bitcoin and, according to Defendants, could receive a "boosted hashrate" on his own machines. The "boosted hashrate" purportedly would increase a customer's mining power. For example, if a customer leased a Black Diamond package 800,000 GG/s power and earned a "boosted hashrate" of 100,000 GG/s, the customer would then have the power to mine with 900,000 GG/s in hashrate power. VBit employees, executives, and affiliates, including Defendant Gao, National Leader Skip McCoy, and Business Partner Gersham Fulcott posted videos online as a means of recruiting VBit customers.

74. Additionally, Defendants told VBit customers they could receive large bonuses for reaching a certain affiliate rank when they hit certain customer recruitment benchmarks. For example, Messrs. Vo and Gao presented top performers with new BMW sports cars and shared videos of the presentation. An October 3, 2020 YouTube video posted by Mr. Fulcott explains all the various types of compensation that VBit customers were told they could earn by recruiting friends, family, and colleagues to become VBit customers. *See* VBit Tech Team PH – Compensation Plan, <a href="https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BPPCT\_KkjUs">https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BPPCT\_KkjUs</a> (last visited July 11, 2024).

# **VBit Is Purportedly Acquired by Advanced Mining**

- 75. In late January 2022, VBit announced that it had been acquired by a company called Advanced Mining for \$105 million.
- 76. On January 31, 2022, VBit issued a press release relating to the sale and identified Advanced Mining "as an Asian-based company primarily focused on bitcoin mining . . . ." VBit Technologies Acquired by Advanced Mining Group in a Huge \$105M Deal, <a href="https://www.globenewswire.com/en/news-release/2022/01/31/2376030/0/en/VBit-Technologies-Acquired-by-Advanced-Mining-Group-in-a-Huge-105M-Deal.html">https://www.globenewswire.com/en/news-release/2022/01/31/2376030/0/en/VBit-Technologies-Acquired-by-Advanced-Mining-Group-in-a-Huge-105M-Deal.html</a> (last visited July 11, 2024).
- 77. In the press release, VBit touted "Advanced Mining" as a company that has been "thriving in the crypto mining sector since 2015 and operating 12 data centers dedicated to bitcoin mining in Europe and Asia." *Id*.
- 78. The press release also stated that VBit was operating 27,000 Antminer S19 series and S17 series out of five data centers "spreading across the globe in the United States, Canada and Kazakhstan." *Id*.
- 79. According to Defendants, on February 17, 2022, alleged Advanced Mining CEO Lillian Zhou sent an email to all VBit/Advanced Mining customers stating that Advanced Mining was growing by "acquiring successful crypto mining businesses across the globe."
- 80. Her email further stated that, as of February 2022, Advanced Mining operated 12 data centers and expected to operate over 50, which would make it one

of the three largest crypto mining companies in the world as measured by hashrate power.

- 81. Ms. Zhou also claimed that Advanced Mining would be increase the interest rate that customers earn by keeping their mined Bitcoin in their Advanced Mining virtual wallets. She claimed that Advanced Mining customers could earn "14% annual interest on all [Bitcoin] held in the [Advanced Mining] wallet – the highest interest rate paid on BTC [Bitcoin] in the market today!"
- Despite the information contained in the press release, Advanced 82. Mining is not – nor has it ever been – registered to do business in any jurisdiction in the United States. Indeed, Advanced Mining is not a real company and there is no mention of it anywhere until January 2022. If it exists at all, Advanced Mining is a complete sham and the alter ego and/or instrumentality of VBit Tech, VBit Mining, and the Individual Defendants.
- 83. Defendants admitted as much in an October 18, 2022 email from Advanced Mining to its customers, which says at the bottom of the email that VBit Tech. is merely doing business as Advanced Mining:

© Copyright, 2022, VBit Technologies Corp Doing Business As Advanced Mining • 1625 Washington Ave, Philadelphia, PA 19146-2045

You're receiving this email because you subscribed to emails from Advanced Mining.

84. If Advanced Mining is in fact a duly authorized legal entity, according to Defendants that entity assumed all obligations of VBit.

- 85. According to Defendants, Advanced Mining conducts the same or substantially similar business that VBit conducted before VBit's purported acquisition.
- 86. VBit and Advanced Mining have the same or substantially the same ownership.
- 87. Shortly after the announcement of the Advanced Mining transaction, Mr. Vo posted on LinkedIn that due to his efforts in pushing himself to build VBit, he had neglected his health and therefore would step down as CEO. Mr. Vo's LinkedIn profile states that he is "Retired for now."
- 88. Plaintiffs believe and alleges that Mr. Vo continued to run VBit's operations following the sham Advanced Mining transaction and that beginning in early 2022 Mr. Vo assumed the identity of Lillian Zhou as a means to perpetrate the fraud.
- 89. Mr. Vo orchestrated the charade of pretending to be someone else by using the email addresses, <a href="mailto:l.zhou@vbittech.com">l.zhou@vbittech.com</a> and <a href="mailto:ceo@vbittech.com">ceo@vbittech.com</a>, and by only agreeing to communicate with other VBit employees and officers (including Sean Tu) and VBit's vendors, by email.

# **VBit Is Sanctioned by Washington State Regulators**

90. On July 12, 2022, VBit entered into a consent order ("Consent Order") with the Securities Division for the State of Washington ("Washington Securities

Division"), which concluded that VBit's "offer and/or sale of the combined Bitcoin mining hardware and service packages constitute the offer and/or sale of a security as defined by RCW 21.20.005(14) and (17)"; and "[VBit] has violated RCW 21.20.140, because, as set forth in the Tentative Findings of Fact, it offered and/or sold securities for which no registration is on file with the Securities Administrator."

- 91. Pursuant to the Consent Order, VBit agreed to pay fines to the Washington Securities Division totaling \$15,000. VBit also agreed "that for the \$156,000 of Bitcoin mining packages sold to approximately 82 Washington residents . . . [VBit] shall refund each Washington resident the original price of their mining package, less the value of any Bitcoin mined using their package (calculated as of the date of entry of this order), in exchange for the mining package purchaser's release of any ownership rights to their mining hardware."
- 92. The Consent Order ordered VBit to make the refund payments to Washington purchasers within 120 days of the Order.
- 93. Despite VBit's claim that it was sold to Advanced Mining in January 2022, the July 2022 Consent Order made no mention of Advanced Mining, and Lillian Zhou appears on the signature line on behalf of VBit and is identified as its CEO.

# California Regulators Send A Desist and Refrain Order

94. On February 1, 2024, the California Department of Financial Protection and Innovation ("DFPI") announced it had sent a desist and refrain order (the "DFPI Order") to VBit Tech, VBit Mining, VBit DC, and Advanced Mining. The DFPI Order alleged that VBit Tech, VBit Mining, VBit DC, and Advanced Mining solicited investors and offered unregistered securities in California. The DFPI Order ordered VBit Tech, VBit Mining, VBit DC, and Advanced Mining to "desist and refrain from the further offer and sale of securities in the State of California, including but not limited to investment contracts, unless and until qualification has been made under the law, or unless exempt."

#### The Truth Leaks Out, but Defendants Continue to Mislead Investors

- 95. Beginning in May 2022, VBit/Advanced Mining customers experienced delays in withdrawing Bitcoin from their virtual wallets. VBit/Advanced Mining described these delays as "batching" issues or technical glitches.
- 96. On or around June 1, 2022, VBit/Advanced Mining stopped processing all withdrawals. Since approximately June 2022, Plaintiffs' virtual wallets have been frozen and Plaintiffs cannot withdraw Bitcoin or process any transactions.
- 97. VBit/Advanced Mining's so-called individualized "virtual wallets" are complete shams, *i.e.*, they are merely numbers contained in a virtual database and not individualized units of Bitcoin associated with each Class member.

- 98. Contrary to their representations (*see* ¶ 57 supra), Defendants did not offer or maintain individualized, hardware-hosted mining services for all customers.
- 99. Plaintiffs never received the discrete, individualized mining equipment and services they paid for.
- 100. Upon information and belief, VBit did conduct some Bitcoin mining and made some distributions to its customers, VBit misappropriated much of its customers' Bitcoin, and used that Bitcoin to engage in highly speculative trading of other cryptocurrencies such as Ethereum, Stellar, Tezos, Zcash, and Bitcoin Cash.
- 101. Defendants' fraudulent scheme unraveled when the market price of Bitcoin began to tumble in April 2022. It was only after the price of Bitcoin dropped precipitously that Plaintiffs were and continue to be foreclosed from making withdrawals from their virtual wallets.
- 102. Defendants sold far more computing power than ever owned, hosted, or otherwise controlled, and they presently owe Plaintiffs and other customers amounts far in excess of what they were making on their mining operations.
- 103. On June 27, 2022, Advanced Mining sent an email to its customers stating the following:

Advanced Mining has positively impacted many lives during its few years in operation. Therefore, it saddens us to inform you that we can no longer service the United States market.

Without over-disclosing, in summary, our team has worked diligently with multiple law firms to ensure that our products and services are not

unregistered securities. However, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (US SEC) disagrees; therefore, Advanced Mining must take measures to abide by US SEC and applicable laws. As a result, we stopped all sales and withdrawals because of a potential pending settlement with the US SEC. During this process, bitcoin mining for clients outside the US may continue normally. After completing our potential pending settlement with the US SEC, we look forward to resuming our global services to clients outside the US and other restricted countries.

- 104. The email further states that U.S. customers "who earned more than what they originally paid to VBit/Advanced Mining will keep their earnings and must relinquish ownership of their mining hardware."
- 105. The email further explained that "US customers who have not yet earned more than what they originally paid to VBit/Advanced Mining" had two options. They could either: (a) "[k]eep ownership of their hardware and have it shipped to them at their expense" with Advanced Mining refunding any unused prepaid hosting services, or (b) "[r]elinquish their hardware ownership to receive a full refund of all monies paid minus any commissions and mined bitcoins."
- 106. Advanced Mining told its customers in the same email that they would be reaching out to customers to capture their choice of the two options.
- 107. On July 5, 2022, Defendant Tu emailed Advanced Mining customers the following update:
  - Advanced Mining would no longer be accepting payments;
  - "all sales are "temporarily suspended";
  - pending sales would be cancelled or refunded;

- "[n]o new commission and (commission bonuses) will be paid to any returned and cancelled sales";
- "[c]omission payout will temporarily be suspended until commissions are recalculated based on canceled sales, returns, and refunds";
- "[a]ffilliate Membership for US residents (and US business entities) will be suspended this week (if not already);
- "We have finalized the WA state list of members; starting today (July 5th), we will begin reaching out to WA members on their options";
- "We are proactively working on a list of refunds for other states"; and
- "When we are done with WA customers, we will start with other states."
- 108. On June 27, 2022, Advanced Mining claimed to have closed its headquarters in Philadelphia, blaming the COVID-19 pandemic.
- 109. Yet Advanced Mining continues to offer various mining packages for sale on its website, but all packages are listed as "sold out." Advanced Mining, Mining Shop, https://www.advancedmining.io/mining-shop/ (last visited July 11, 2024).
- 110. Furthermore, despite VBit's supposed sale to Advanced Mining in January 2022, the July 2022 Consent Order made no mention of Advanced Mining, and Defendant Zhou appears on the signature line on behalf of VBit and is identified as its CEO.
- 111. On October 18, 2022, Advanced Mining emailed its customers to inform them about upgrades to its online help desk system, refunds available to customers from the State of Washington who can take advantage of the consent order with its securities department, and fixes to login and account authentication.

Despite Advanced Mining's earlier statements, the enterprise continued to function as a going concern to continue concealing the fraud.

- 112. In late 2022, even after multiple lawsuits had been filed against the Defendants, Sean Tu attempted to liquidate VBit's assets, including the computer hardware owned and leased by members of the Class, and the assets of VBit DC.
- 113. As of the date of this Amended Complaint, Plaintiffs are unable to withdraw Bitcoin from their virtual wallet, despite Defendants' representations that customers could withdraw at any time all Bitcoin they owned.

#### THE MINING CONTRACTS ARE SECURITIES

114. The contractual agreements at issue and the products and services sold thereunder, *i.e.*, Defendants' "hosting services" and "mining equipment," constitute investment contracts and securities under §3(a)(10) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. §78c, because they are investments in common ventures premised on a reasonable expectation of profits to be derived primarily from the entrepreneurial or managerial efforts of others. Further, the Mining Contracts are securities under the test set forth in *Reves v. Ernst & Young*, 494 U.S. 56, 64-66 (1990), and its progeny. The Mining Contracts also have all the traditional hallmarks of a security, as reflected in *SEC v. W.J. Howey Co.*, 328 U.S. 293 (1946), and subsequent case law.

# The Mining Contracts Are Unregistered Securities Under Reves

- 115. VBit and/or Advanced Mining has never been registered in any capacity with the SEC; nor have the Mining Contracts been registered with the SEC or any other state securities agency.
- 116. Under §2(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §77b(a)(1), a "security" is defined to include any "note."
- 117. The Supreme Court has noted that "Congress' purpose in enacting the securities laws was to regulate investments, in whatever form they are made and by whatever name they are called." While not all notes are investments, pursuant to the family resemblance test articulated by the Supreme Court, a note is presumed to be a security and that presumption may be rebutted only by a showing that the note bears a strong resemblance to one of the enumerated categories, such as a "note delivered in consumer financing" or the "note secured by a mortgage on a home." The factors suggesting a note is a security include: (1) investments in a business enterprise; (2) the "common trading" of the notes offered and sold to a broad segment of the public; (3) the public's reasonable perception from advertisements for the notes that they were investments; and (4) the lack of any risk-reducing factor that would make the application of the Securities Act unnecessary,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Reves, 494 U.S. at 61.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id.* at 65 (citation omitted).

since the notes were uncollateralized and uninsured and would escape federal regulation entirely if the Securities Act were held not to apply.<sup>6</sup>

118. These factors underscore that the notes issued by VBit/Advanced Mining and Defendants in the form of Mining Contracts were securities. First, Plaintiff and the Class invested fiat and/or cryptocurrencies in a business enterprise, namely VBit.

119. Most significantly, all of the marketing materials promoted by Defendants led Plaintiff and the Class to believe that investing in a Mining Contract with VBit was an investment.

# The Mining Contracts Are Unregistered Securities Under *Howey*

120. Section 2(a)(1) of the Securities Act also defines a "security" to include any "investment contract." An investment contract is "an investment of money in a common enterprise with profits to come solely from the efforts of others."8 Specifically, a transaction qualifies as an investment contract and, thus, a security if it is: (1) an investment (2) in a common enterprise (3) with a reasonable expectation of profits (4) to be derived from the entrepreneurial or managerial efforts of others. This definition embodies a "flexible rather than a static principle, one that is capable of adaptation to meet the countless and variable schemes devised

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.* at 65-66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> 15 U.S.C. §77b(a)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Howey, 328 U.S. at 299.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> See United Hous. Found., Inc. v. Forman, 421 U.S. 837, 852-53 (1975).

by those who seek the use of the money of others on the promise of profits," and thereby "permits the fulfillment of the statutory purpose of compelling full and fair disclosure relative to the issuance of 'the many types of instruments that in our commercial world fall within the ordinary concept of a security." Accordingly, in analyzing whether something is a security, "form should be disregarded for substance," and the emphasis should be "on the economic realities underlying a transaction, and not on the name appended thereto."

- 121. Like the defendants in *Howey*, VBit offered the "essential ingredients of an investment contract." This is so because VBit offered an opportunity to passively invest in its collective Bitcoin mining pool.
- 122. Investors in the VBit Mining Contracts, including Plaintiffs and the Class, made their investments with a reasonable expectation of profits. In fact, the sole reason for entering into the Mining Contracts was to earn a return on their investment in the form of newly mined Bitcoin.
- 123. Investors' profits in the Mining Contracts were to be derived from the managerial efforts of others, specifically VBit, Advanced Mining, and the Individual Defendants, who held themselves out to investors as experts in the Bitcoin mining industry. Investors relied on the technical, managerial, and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Howey, 328 U.S. at 299 (citation omitted).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Forman, 421 U.S. at 848-49 (citation omitted).

entrepreneurial efforts of the Defendants to manage, oversee, and/or develop the Bitcoin mining facilities funded by sale of the Mining Contracts.

- 124. As executives of VBit and Advanced Mining, the Individual Defendants ran VBit's day-to-day operations and were responsible for all aspects of company products and strategy and for the growth of and investment in VBit.
- 125. Therefore, the first, third, and fourth prongs of the *Howey* test are satisfied. Only the second prong the question of commonality remains.
- 126. The Third Circuit applies the "horizontal commonality" test to determine whether a "common enterprise" exists. U.S. S.E.C. v. Infinity Grp. Co., 212 F.3d 180, 187 (3d Cir. 2000). "Horizontal commonality is characterized by a pooling of investor's contributions and distribution of profits and losses on a prorata basis among investors." *Id.* However, a "pro-rata distribution of profits" is not required for horizontal commonality to exist. Friel v. Dapper Labs, Inc., 2023 WL 2162747, at \*10 (S.D.N.Y. Feb. 22, 2023) ("Courts have also found that while the pro-rata distribution of profits is evidence of horizontal commonality, such a formalized profit-sharing mechanism is not required.") (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). Rather, "[h]orizontal commonality requires the existence of a 'pooled group of funds' from investors in the common enterprise." Steinhardt Grp., Inc. v. Citicorp, 1996 WL 790097, at \*9 (D. Del. Dec. 2, 1996), aff'd, 126 F.3d 144 (3d Cir. 1997). "Generally, pooling occurs when the funds received by the

promoter through an offering are, essentially, reinvested by the promoter into the business. In turn, such reinvestment increases the value of the instrument offered." Friel, 2023 WL 2162747, at \*11.

127. The "common enterprise" element is satisfied here because VBit's mining packages expressly state that the mining equipment being offered would be utilized as part of a collective mining "pool":

#### 5. Network and Access.

- Network. Service Provider will provide a minimum of 100mbps of local network 5.1. connectivity to each piece of Equipment on a single Ethernet segment. Customer is responsible for managing all account passwords and for all network and device security, including providing a firewall for devices Customer utilizes to access Service Provider's network. Customer can allocate the Equipment's computational power to their desired pool with written notification to Service Provider. If Customer does not provide a desired pool prior to installation of Equipment, Service Provider will allocate the Equipment's computational power to any pool of its choice on behalf of Customer. Customer can request a change of allocation of computational power to a pool of the Customer's choice with written notification to Service Provider at least 72 hours prior to the desired change.
- Ex. 1, at § 5.1. Bitcoin "mining pools" are, by definition, "collectives" where Bitcoin miners "work together to mine Bitcoin." Benjamin Akins, et al., The Case for the Regulation of Bitcoin Mining As A Security, 19 Va. J.L. & Tech. 669, 679 (2015). Although Bitcoin mining pools employ "various compensation regimes, the core principal of the mining pool is that . . . individual miners input or invest their work product into a mining pool with the purpose of receiving a share of the proceeds from the present or ongoing mining activity." *Id.* at 681.
- 128. VBit expressly informed Plaintiffs and the Class that their investments in VBit-hosted mining equipment would be placed in a VBit-selected "mining pool"

where that equipment would be used to mine Bitcoin for the Plaintiffs' (and other investors') collective benefit. Ex. 1, § 5.1). Under the "horizontal commonality" test for establishing the existence of a "common enterprise," the central inquiry is whether Plaintiffs' investments were "pooled." This test is clearly satisfied because Plaintiffs' VBit mining packages expressly state her investments would be "pooled" as part of a collective Bitcoin "mining pool."

Order with VBit, which concluded that the precise VBit mining packages at issue are "securities."; (Ex. [], Consent Order). Notably, "[t]he definition of a security in the Securities Act of Washington is derived substantially from the definition sections of the federal Securities Act of 1933." *McClellan v. Sundholm*, 89 Wash. 2d 527, 531, 574 P.2d 371, 373 (1978). In fact, Washington courts have expressly adopted the "federal definition of an investment contract" as "authoritatively stated" in the seminal case of Howey. *Id*.

# **Defendants Admit That the Mining Contracts Are Securities**

130. In the RICO case, Defendants Mr. Gao and Ms. Vo have asserted that the Mining Contracts are securities. *Dettmering v. VBit Technologies Corp.*, *et al.*, Case No. 1:22-cv-01482-JLH-SRF, Dkt. Nos. 141, at 15-16; 146, at 5-10 (D. Del.). As such, Defendants Mr. Gao and Ms. Vo are judicially estopped from asserting otherwise. *In re Kane*, 628 F.3d 631, 638 (3d. Cir. 2010); *see also*, *Rice v. Regions* 

Bank, 2010 WL 11614152, at \*14 (N.D. Ala. Mar. 8, 2010) ("[Judicial estoppel] is designed to prohibit a party from making an assertion in one case which is inconsistent with an assertion made by that party in a prior proceeding.")

# **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

131. Plaintiff brings this action as a class action pursuant to Rules 23(a) and 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure on behalf of the following Class of persons:

All persons or entities who purchased unregistered securities in the form of VBit Mining Contracts and were subsequently damaged thereby. Excluded from the Class are: corporate officers, members of the boards of directors, and senior executives of Defendants; members of their immediate families and their legal representatives, heirs, successors or assigns; and any entity in which Defendants have or had a controlling interest.

- 132. The members of the Class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Plaintiff believes there are at least 15,000 Class members. The exact number of Class members is unknown to Plaintiff at this time and can only be ascertained through appropriate discovery.
- 133. The Class is readily ascertainable and identifiable. They can be identified by reference to Defendants' own records and databases. Moreover, Class members will be able to come forward and identify themselves.
- 134. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class because Plaintiffs' claims are typical and representative of the claims of all

members of the Class. Plaintiffs suffered injury in fact as a result of their investments in VBit Mining Contracts.

- 135. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of all Class members, as all members of the Class are similarly affected by Defendants' wrongful conduct in violation of federal securities laws. All members of the Class have sustained injury in fact as a result of VBit's inability to pay the amounts due to them in their customer accounts.
- There are no unique defenses that may be asserted against Plaintiffs individually, as distinguished from the other members of the Class, and the relief sought is common to the Class. Plaintiffs are typical of other members of the Class, do not have any interest that is in conflict with or is antagonistic to the interests of the members of the Class, and have no conflict with any other members of the Class.
- 137. Plaintiffs have retained competent counsel experienced in securities, cryptocurrency, and class action litigation to represent themselves and the Class.
- 138. Questions of law and fact common to the Class that predominate over any questions that may affect only individual members of the Class, include, but are not limited to:
  - Whether the VBit Mining Contracts are securities under the Securities Act;

- Whether Defendants' offerings and sales of VBit Mining Contracts b. violated the registration provisions of the Securities Act;
- Whether Defendants made materially false and misleading statements c. and omissions in connection with the VBit Mining Contracts;
- d. Whether Defendants made the materially false statements and omissions with scienter;
- Whether the Individual Defendants are control people of the Company e. Defendants; and
- f. The type and measure of damages suffered by Plaintiffsand the Class.
- 139. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all Class members is impracticable. Furthermore, as the damages suffered by individual Class members may be relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation make it impossible for Class members to redress individually the wrongs done to them. In the absence of a class action, Defendants will retain the benefits of their wrongful conduct.

# **CAUSES OF ACTION**

#### COUNT I

Unregistered Offer and Sale of Securities in Violation of §§5 and 12(a)(1) of the Securities Act (Against All Defendants)

- 140. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 141. Defendants, and each of them, made use of means or instruments of transportation or communication in interstate commerce or of the mails, to offer to sell or to sell securities, or to carry or cause such securities to be carried through the mails or in interstate commerce for the purpose of sale or for delivery after sale.
- 142. VBit Mining Contracts are securities within the meaning of §2(a)(1) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §77b(a)(1).
- 143. Plaintiffs and members of the Class purchased VBit Mining Contract securities from Defendants.
- 144. No registration statements have been filed with the SEC or have been in effect with respect to any of the offerings alleged herein.
- 145. By reason of the foregoing, each of the Defendants has violated §§5(a), 5(c), and 12(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §§77e(a), 77e(c), and 77l(a).
- 146. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' unregistered sale of securities, Plaintiffs and members of the Class have suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases of VBit Mining Contract securities.

# **COUNT II**

**Violation of §15 of the Securities Act** (Against All Defendants)

- 147. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 148. This Count is asserted against Defendants under §15 of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §77o.
- 149. Defendants, by virtue of their offices, stock ownership, agency, agreements or understandings, and specific acts were, at the time of the wrongs alleged herein, and as set forth herein, controlling persons within the meaning of §15 of the Securities Act. Defendants, and each of them, had the power and influence and exercised the same to cause the unlawful offer and sale of VBit Mining Contract securities as described herein.
- 150. Defendants, separately or together, possessed, directly or indirectly, the power to direct or cause the direction of the management and policies of VBit and Advanced Mining, through ownership of voting securities, by contract, subscription agreement, or otherwise.
- 151. Defendants, separately or together, had sufficient influence to have caused VBit and/or Advanced Mining to submit a registration statement.
- 152. Defendants, separately or together, jointly participated in, and/or aided and abetted, VBit's failure to register the Mining Contracts.

- 153. Defendants knew of or had reasonable grounds to believe in the existence of the facts underlying Defendants and VBit/Advanced Mining's liability for violating §12(a) of the Securities Act, 15 U.S.C. §77l(a).
- 154. By virtue of the conduct alleged herein, the Defendants are liable for the wrongful conduct complained of herein and are liable to Plaintiff and the Class for rescission and/or damages suffered.

# **COUNT III**

# Violation of §10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 (Against All Defendants)

- 155. Plaintiffs restate and reallege all preceding allegations above as if fully set forth herein.
- 156. This Count is asserted against Defendants and is based upon §10(b) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. §78j(b), and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder by the SEC.
- 157. Defendants violated §10(b) of the 1934 Act and Rule 10b-5 in that they:
  - employed devices, schemes and artifices to defraud;
  - made untrue statements of material facts or omitted to state
    material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in
    light of the circumstances under which they were made, not
    misleading; or

- engaged in acts, practices and a course of business that operated
  as a fraud or deceit upon Plaintiffs and others similarly situated
  in connection with their purchases of unregistered VBit
  securities during the Class Period.
- 158. Pursuant to the above plan, scheme, conspiracy, and course of conduct, each of the Defendants participated directly or indirectly in the preparation and/or issuance of the VBit Mining Contracts, marketing materials, websites, press releases, and other statements and documents, as described above, including statements made to securities analysts and the media, that were designed to influence the demand for VBit Mining Contracts. Such content, releases, and statements were materially false and misleading in that they failed to disclose material adverse information and misrepresented the truth about VBit/Advanced Mining's business and operations.
- 159. By virtue of their positions at VBit/Advanced Mining, Defendants had actual knowledge of the materially false and misleading statements and material omissions alleged herein and intended thereby to deceive Plaintiff and the other members of the Class, or, in the alternative, Defendants acted with reckless disregard for the truth in that they failed or refused to ascertain and disclose such facts as would reveal the materially false and misleading nature of the statements made, although such facts were readily available to Defendants. Said acts and

omissions of Defendants were committed willfully or with reckless disregard for the truth. In addition, each Defendant knew or recklessly disregarded that material facts were being misrepresented or omitted, as described above.

- 160. Information showing that Defendants acted knowingly or with reckless disregard for the truth is peculiarly within Defendants' knowledge and control. As senior managers and/or officers of VBit/Advanced Mining, the Individual Defendants had knowledge of the details of VBit/Advanced Mining's internal affairs.
- 161. The Individual Defendants are liable both directly and indirectly for the wrongs complained of herein. Because of their positions of control and authority, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, directly or indirectly, control the content of the statements of VBit/Advanced Mining. As a result of the dissemination of the aforementioned false and misleading VBit Mining Contracts, website content, releases, and public statements, the cost of the VBit Mining Contracts was artificially inflated throughout the Class Period. In ignorance of the adverse facts concerning VBit/Advanced Mining's true business and financial condition, which were concealed by Defendants, Plaintiff and other members of the Class purchased or otherwise acquired VBit Mining Contract securities at artificially inflated prices and relied upon the efforts and statements disseminated by Defendants, and were damaged thereby.

- 162. Had Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class known the truth, they would not have purchased or otherwise acquired said VBit Mining Contract securities, or would not have purchased or otherwise acquired them at the inflated prices that were paid. At the time of the purchases and/or acquisitions by Plaintiffs and the Class, the true value of the unregistered VBit Mining Contract securities was substantially lower than the prices paid by Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class.
- 163. By reason of the conduct alleged herein, Defendants have knowingly or recklessly, directly or indirectly, violated §10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder.
- 164. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiffs and the other members of the Class suffered damages in connection with their respective purchases, acquisitions, and sales of VBit's securities during the Class Period, upon the disclosure that VBit had been misrepresenting their business to the investing public.

# COUNT IV

# Violations of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act **Against the Individual Defendants**

165. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation contained in the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

- 166. During the Class Period, the Individual Defendants participated in the operation and management of VBit/Advanced Mining, and conducted and participated, directly and indirectly, in the conduct of VBit/Advanced Mining's business affairs. Because of their senior positions, they knew the adverse non-public information about VBit/Advanced Mining's misstatement of the operation of its business.
- 167. As officers and/or directors of a publicly owned company, the Individual Defendants had a duty to disseminate accurate and truthful information with respect to VBit/Advanced Mining's financial condition and results of operations, and to correct promptly any public statements issued by VBit/Advanced Mining which had become materially false or misleading.
- 168. Because of their positions of control and authority as senior officers, the Individual Defendants were able to, and did, control the contents of the various reports, press releases and public filings which VBit/Advanced Mining disseminated in the marketplace during the Class Period concerning VBit/Advanced Mining's results of operations. Throughout the Class Period, the Individual Defendants exercised their power and authority to cause VBit/Advanced Mining to engage in the wrongful acts complained of herein. The Individual Defendants therefore, were "controlling persons" of VBit/Advanced Mining within the meaning of Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. In this capacity, they participated in the

unlawful conduct alleged which artificially inflated the market price of the unregistered VBit/Advanced Mining securities.

169. By reason of the above conduct, the Individual Defendants are liable pursuant to Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act for the violations committed by VBit/Advanced Mining.

## COUNT V

# **Unjust Enrichment/Restitution** (Common Law, in the Alternative) (Against All Defendants)

- 170. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, reallege and incorporate herein by reference each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 171. Plaintiffs and members of the Class conferred a monetary benefit on Defendants by investing fiat money and cryptocurrency in connection with the VBit Mining Contracts, which allowed Defendants to inappropriately pay out proceeds to other investors.
- 172. Defendants received a financial benefit from the sale of their VBit Mining Contracts at inflated prices and are in possession of this monetary value that was intended to be used for the benefit of, and rightfully belongs to Plaintiff and members of the Class.

173. Plaintiffs seek restitution in the form of the monetary value of the difference between the purchase price of the VBit Mining Contracts and any proceeds Plaintiffs received from the purported Bitcoin mining.

# **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Investor, on behalf of itself and the Class, prays for judgment and relief as follows:

- (a) declaring this action to be a proper class action under Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure;
- (b) declaring that the VBit Mining Contracts are securities and that Defendants' unregistered sales of the VBit Mining Contracts violated applicable laws;
- (c) awarding damages in favor of Plaintiffs and the other Class members against all defendants, jointly and severally, together with interest thereon;
- (d) awarding Plaintiffs and the Class reasonable costs and expenses incurred in this action, including counsel fees and expert fees;
- (e) awarding Plaintiffs and other members of the Class such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

# **JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury.

Dated: July 11, 2024 Respectfully submitted,

### **FARNAN LLP**

/s/ Brian E. Farnan

Brian E. Farnan (Bar No. 4089) Michael J. Farnan (Bar No. 5165) 919 North Market St., 12th Floor Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 777-0300

Fax: (302) 777-0301

Email: bfarnan@farnanlaw.com Email: mfarnan@farnanlaw.com

Liaison Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

# THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.

Laurence Rosen Phillip Kim 275 Madison Avenue, 40th Floor New York, NY 10016 Telephone: (212) 686-1060

Facsimile: (212) 202-3827

lrosen@rosenlegal.com Email: pkim@rosenlegal.com

Lead Counsel for Plaintiff and the Class

# Exhibit 1

	Mining
Purchase and	Hosting Agreement

Document 31-1

By this contract, dated Redacted	,	Redacted	, hereafter known a	ıs
"Customer" or "you", agrees to	make paymer	nts to VBit Mining	LLC, hereafter known as "we	",
"us" or "Service Provider", by t	he following	schedule:		

Order Number: Redacted

One payment of \$ Redacted shall be paid to the Service Provider upfront.

In exchange for payments described above, Service Provider will render the following services to the Customer:

### Use and leasing rights with a buyout option to:

Package consisting of dedicated Redacted computer server hashboards with an average of Redacted GH/s computer computational power, hereafter known as "Equipment".

Use and leasing term length will be equivalent to the Hosting Term lengths held by Customer. Customer can execute buyout option on Equipment referenced above at any time up to 30 days after the expiration of any Hosting Term lengths held by Customer for applicable equipment by submitting a written request of buyout execution and shipment of said equipment. Upon such request, Customer shall pay the shipping and handling fee described in section 2.2 of this agreement in additional to a \$1.00 USD buyout and the balance of all payments described above.

## **Estimated Hardware Activation Date\*: Redacted**

\*If circumstances are within Service Provider's reasonable control and Service Provider fails to activate hardware within the estimated hardware activation time frame, then Service Provider, at Service Provider's discretion, will provide Customer with either:

Loss of opportunity credits equal to the net proceeds of hardware output minus applicable hosting and service fees for the period from the last day of estimated hardware activation date to the actual activation date.

Or

Cancelling the order and providing Customer with a full refund of all monies paid by Customer minus any credits already provided to Customer in relation to the order.

# Initial Hosting Term length\* of: Redacted

\*Hosting Term can be updated from time to time with a signed Addendum A (Hosting Term Update Form).

Hosting and Maintenance Agreement

#### 1. Service.

- Facility. Service Provider will provide server hosting facility, electrical power, and Internet access to Customer at Service Provider's and partner facilities (the "Facility") for the purposes of installing, maintaining, and operating Customer's leased or owned servers and ASIC chips (the "Equipment"), which may be updated from time to time to add or delete Equipment with written notification to the Customer.
- 1.2. Uptime and Repairs. Service Provider guarantees at least 95% annual uptime based on the calendar year. If annual uptime falls below 95%, Service Provider will credit Customer hosting service credits based on the amount of downtime in excess of allowed downtime. All maintenance and repair services are included. If Service Provider is unable to repair the Equipment, Service Provider will provide a one (1) time replacement that is equivalent to the Equipment for each unit of the Equipment over the entire term that the equipment or its replacement is hosted with Service Provider.

#### 2. Term and Termination.

- Term. The Agreement commences on the earlier date of any Equipment being received and turned on by Service Provider ("Installation") or the last day of the Estimated Hardware Activation Date and shall remain effective until the end of the paid hosting term or a termination event as described below occurred.
- Termination by Customer. Customer may request termination of hosting services at any time, and within 30 days of the termination/expiration, request buyout and shipping of the hardware described in this contract to you. A shipping and handling fee for the corresponding package (listed below) in addition to a \$1.00 USD buyout payment (if applicable) and any outstanding payments due to Service Provider is required in these circumstances. Any prepaid unused hosting periods/credits are non-refundable and will be forfeited. This agreement may be changed by Service Provider at its discretion for reasonable cause.

Package Shipping and handling fees (USA rates, for international shipping please add 30%):

Copper: 50USD Silver: 50USD Gold: 75USD Platinum: 225USD Diamond: 775USD Black Diamond: 1800USD

- 2.3. Termination for Cause. Service Provider may terminate this Agreement for cause immediately following written notice to Customer if Customer: (a) fails to make any payment(s) due pursuant to this Agreement; (b) violates, or fails to perform or fulfill any covenant or provision of this Agreement, and any such matter is not cured within five (5) days after notification from Service Provider; or (c) enters into bankruptcy, dissolution, financial failure or insolvency, sale or merger with another person, corporation or entity, unless approved in advance by Service Provider. Any prepaid unused hosting periods/credits are non-refundable and will be forfeited.
- 2.4. Termination by Service Provider. Service Provider, at its discretion, can terminate this Agreement for any reason without cause. Any prepaid unused hosting periods/credits will be refunded to Customer if Service Provider terminates this Agreement without cause.
- 2.5. Effect of Termination. At the termination of this hosting agreement, Customer's hardware will be deactivated and uninstalled. Customer will have thirty (30) days from the termination date of this hosting agreement to:
  - a) Purchase an additional hosting term (if agreement has not been terminated by Service Provider).
  - b) Request buyout and shipping of the hardware described in this contract to Customer. A shipping and handling fee for the corresponding package (listed below) in addition to a \$1.00 USD buyout payment (if applicable) and any outstanding payments due to Service Provider is required.

Package Shipping and handling fees (USA rates, for international shipping please add 30%):

Copper: 50USD
Silver: 50USD
Gold: 75USD
Platinum: 225USD
Diamond: 775USD
Black Diamond: 1800USD

In the event that Customer takes no action within thirty (30) days of hosting agreement termination date, Service Provider shall have the right to (a) dispose, sell or retain possession of; (b) reconfigure for Service Provider' use; or (c) remove and store at Customer's expense, all or any portion of the Equipment without any cost, obligation or liability of Service Provider to Customer.

In the event of a Default by Customer, Customer agrees to pay immediately to Service Provider all amounts then owed. If Customer fails to make any such payments, Service Provider shall have the right to (a) sell or retain possession of; (b) reconfigure for Service Provider' use; or (c) remove and store at Customer's expense, all or any portion of the Equipment without any cost, obligation or liability of Service Provider to Customer.

### 3. Fees and Payment.

- 3.1. Initial Setup Fees. Customer shall pay Service Provider the Initial Setup Fees of \$0 USD.
- 3.2 Repairs. Any repairs not included in hosting term contracts will be billed at \$150 per hour for labor. Parts pricing will be based on actual market cost plus 20%.
- 3.3. Taxes. Where applicable, Customer is responsible for paying any and all taxes, including without limitation any federal, state, or local taxes on manufacture, sales, gross income, receipts, occupation, or use.
- 3.4 Other Service Fees. Additional Service Fees apply. For updated fee information, please see the Service Fee Disclosure found on Service Provider's website (https://www.vbitmining.com/vbit-service-fees)
- 3.5 Refund Policy. VBit Mining offers a 100% satisfaction guarantee policy and shall provide a full refund of all monies paid if a Customer notifies and returns the product to the Company within seven (7) days of the sales transaction (order date).

After this initial seven-day refund period, the Company nonetheless allows a Customer to return the product between eight (8) and thirty (30) days of purchase (order date) but such refund comes with a 50% restocking fee of the overall purchase price.

No refunds or exchanges will be accepted after thirty (30) days of purchase (order date). Shipping and handling charges incurred will not be refunded.

If Cryptocurrency is utilized for payment, any payment/refunds made will be based on the USD exchange rate at the time of transaction.

4. Security Interest. Customer hereby grants a security interest in the Equipment in favor of Service Provider and its partners to secure the obligations of Customer under this Agreement. Service Provider may, at such time as it determines appropriate, file a UCC 1 Financing Statement in such places as it determines to evidence the security interest granted by Customer to Service Provider under this Agreement.

#### 5. Network and Access.

5.1. Network. Service Provider will provide a minimum of 100mbps of local network connectivity to each piece of Equipment on a single Ethernet segment. Customer is responsible for managing all account passwords and for all network and device security, including providing a firewall for devices Customer utilizes to access Service Provider's network. Customer can allocate the Equipment's computational power to their desired pool with written notification to Service Provider. If Customer does not provide a desired pool prior to installation of Equipment, Service Provider will allocate the Equipment's computational power to any pool of its choice on behalf of Customer. Customer can request a change of allocation of computational power to a

pool of the Customer's choice with written notification to Service Provider at least 72 hours prior to the desired change.

- 5.2. Access. Only those persons specifically authorized by Service Provider may access the Facility. Service Provider may deny or suspend Customer's access to the Equipment based on Service Provider's then-current Security Policies and Procedures including:
- 5.2.1. All access into the Facility must be supervised by a Service Provider representative.
- 5.3. Hazardous Conditions. If, in the discretion of Service Provider, its employees or agents, any hazardous conditions arise on, from, or affecting the Facility, Service Provider is hereby authorized to suspend service under this Agreement without subjecting Service Provider to any liability.
- 5.4. Demand Response/Load Resource Participation Program. If the Facility is located within the state of Texas, Customer understands that Service Provider participates in the Demand Response / Load Resource Participation Program ("LRP Program") for the Texas ERCOT energy grid. The LRP Program is designed to maintain the integrity of the ERCOT grid system. The LRP Program provides ERCOT with the capability to shut off the power load serving Service Provider customers in response to emergency load situations.

### 6. Removals and Relocation of Equipment.

- 6.1. Service Provider may relocate the Equipment within the facility, provided that the site of relocation shall afford comparable environmental conditions for the Equipment and comparable accessibility to the Equipment. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Service Provider shall not arbitrarily or capriciously require Customer to relocate the Equipment. If the Equipment is relocated according to this Section, the cost of relocating the Equipment and improving the Facility to which the Equipment will be relocated shall be borne by Service Provider.
- 6.2. If at any time the Equipment not purchased from Service Provider causes unacceptable interference to existing or prospective Service Provider's customers or their Equipment, Service Provider may require Customer to remove or relocate the Equipment at Customer's sole expense. If Customer is unable to cure such interference by relocating the Equipment, Service Provider may terminate this Agreement without further obligation to Customer under this Agreement.
- 6.3. In the event of an emergency, as determined in Service Provider's reasonable discretion, Service Provider may rearrange, remove, or relocate the Equipment without any liability to Service Provider. Notwithstanding the foregoing, in the case of emergency, Service Provider shall provide Customer, to the extent practicable, reasonable notice prior to rearranging, removing, or relocating the Equipment.
- 6.4. Customer shall not remove any of the Equipment from the Facility without the prior written authorization of Service Provider. Customer will provide Service Provider with written

notification a minimum of thirty (30) days before Customer wishes to remove any of the Equipment from the Facility. Before authorizing the removal of the Equipment, Service Provider will verify that Customer has no payments due. Once Service Provider authorizes the removal of the Equipment from the Facility, Customer will remove such Equipment, and shall be solely responsible to bring appropriate packaging and moving materials. If Customer uses an agent or other third party to remove the Equipment, Customer shall be solely responsible for the acts of such party, and any damages caused by such party to the Equipment or otherwise.

## 7. Customer Responsibilities.

- 7.1. Acceptable Use Policy. Customer shall always use the Equipment and maintain the Facility, in a safe manner and according to Service Provider's then-current Acceptable Use Policy.
- 7.2. Compliance with Laws. Customer's use of the Facility and the Equipment located at the Facility, must at all times conform to all applicable laws, including international laws, the laws of the state of Delaware, the laws of the states in which Customer is doing business, and the laws of the state where the Facility is located.
- 7.3. Licenses and Permits. Customer shall be responsible for obtaining any licenses, permits, consents, or approvals from any federal, state or local government, which may be necessary to install, possess, own, or operate the Equipment.
- 7.4. Insurance. It is understood that Service Provider is not an insurer and Customer Equipment is not covered by any insurance policy held by Service Provider. Customer is responsible for obtaining insurance coverage for the Equipment. Equipment leased by Customer from Service Provider will be covered under policies held by Service Provider. Any balances owed to Service Provider by Customer and claim expenses incurred, including but not limited to attorney, accountant, and investigator fees, will be deducted from any insurance claim payouts before the remainder is released to Customer.
- 8. **Common Carrier.** Service Provider and Customer agree that Service Provider is acting solely as a common carrier in its capacity of providing the Service hereunder, and is not a publisher of any material or information. Furthermore, Service Provider has no right or ability to censor materials or information traversed through Service Provider's networks.
- 9. Warranty and Disclaimer. THE SERVICE AND THE FACILITY PROVIDED BY SERVICE PROVIDER IS PROVIDED "AS IS." SERVICE PROVIDER DOES NOT PROVIDE BACKUP POWER AND THE FACILITY IS SUBJECT TO SWINGS IN LOCAL TEMPERATURE, WIND, HUMIDITY, ETC. SERVICE PROVIDER MAKES NO WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, INCLUDING ANY (A) WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY; (B) WARRANTY OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE; or (C) WARRANTY AGAINST INTERFERENCE. SERVICE PROVIDER DOES NOT WARRANT THAT (A) THE SERVICE SHALL BE AVAILABLE 24/7 OR FREE FROM MINOR INTERRUPTIONS; (B) THE SERVICE SHALL MEET CUSTOMER'S REQUIREMENTS OTHER THAN AS SET OUT IN THE DOCUMENTATION; OR (C) THE

SERVICE SHALL PROVIDE ANY FUNCTION NOT DESIGNATED IN THE DOCUMENTATION.

#### 10. Limitation of Liability.

- 10.1. Customer understands and acknowledges that in some situations Equipment functionality may be unavailable due to factors outside of Service Provider' control. This includes, but is not limited to network failures, pool operator failures, denial of service attacks, currency network outages, hacking or malicious attacks on the crypto networks or exchanges, power outages, or Acts of God. SERVICE PROVIDER SHALL HAVE NO OBLIGATION, RESPONSIBILITY, AND/OR LIABILITY FOR THE FOLLOWING: (A) ANY INTERRUPTION OR DEFECTS IN THE EQUIPMENT FUNCTIONALITY CAUSED BY FACTORS OUTSIDE OF SERVICE PROVIDER' REASONABLE CONTROL; (B) ANY LOSS, DELETION, OR CORRUPTION OF CUSTOMER'S DATA OR FILES WHATSOEVER; (C) ANY LOST REVENUE TO CUSTOMER DURING OUTAGES, EQUIPMENT FAILURES, ETC.; (D) DAMAGES RESULTING FROM ANY ACTIONS OR INACTIONS OF CUSTOMER OR ANY THIRD PARTY NOT UNDER SERVICE PROVIDER' CONTROL; OR (E) DAMAGES RESULTING FROM EQUIPMENT OR ANY THIRD PARTY EQUIPMENT.
- 10.2. IN NO EVENT SHALL SERVICE PROVIDER BE LIABLE TO CUSTOMER OR ANY OTHER PERSON, FIRM, OR ENTITY IN ANY RESPECT, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, FOR ANY INDIRECT, CONSEQUENTIAL, SPECIAL, INCIDENTAL OR PUNITIVE DAMAGES, INCLUDING LOSS OF PROFITS OF ANY KIND OR NATURE WHATSOEVER, ARISING OUT OF MISTAKES, NEGLIGENCE, ACCIDENTS, ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INTERRUPTIONS, OR DEFECTS IN TRANSMISSION, OR DELAYS, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THOSE WHICH MAY BE CAUSED BY REGULATORY OR JUDICIAL AUTHORITIES ARISING OUT OF OR RELATING TO THIS AGREEMENT OR THE OBLIGATIONS OF SERVICE PROVIDER PURSUANT TO THIS AGREEMENT. EXCLUDING ANY CLAIMS FOR INDEMNIFICATION UNDER SECTION 11, SERVICE PROVIDER' LIABILITIES UNDER THIS AGREEMENT, WHETHER UNDER CONTRACT LAW, TORT LAW, WARRANTY, OR OTHERWISE, SHALL BE LIMITED TO DIRECT DAMAGES NOT TO EXCEED THE AMOUNTS ACTUALLY RECEIVED BY SERVICE PROVIDER FROM CUSTOMER IN THE 3 MONTHS PRIOR TO THE DATE OF THE ACTION GIVING RISE TO THE CLAIM.
- 10.3. Customer's sole remedy for performance or non-performance of the terms of this Agreement shall be a refund of any monies or fees paid to Service Provider for unused products and services. Unless applicable law requires a longer period, any action against Service Provider in connection with this Agreement must be commenced within one year after the cause of the action has occurred.
- 10.4. Customer agrees to look exclusively to Customer's insurer to recover for injury or damage in the event of any loss or injury and releases and waives all right of recovery against Service Provider.

11. **Indemnification.** Customer will indemnify, hold harmless, and defend Service Provider, its subsidiaries, employees, agents, directors, owners, executives, representatives, and subcontractors from any liability, claim, judgment, loss, cost, expense or damage, including attorneys' fees and legal expenses, brought by any party on account of the Equipment or Customer's use of the Equipment, or any injuries or damages sustained by any person or property due to any direct or indirect act, omission, neglect or misconduct of Customer, its agents, representatives, employees, contractors and their employees and subcontractors and their employees.

You state and confirm that you shall indemnify, defend and hold harmless our Company, and all members, managers, directors, officers, contractors, employees, agents and joint venturers thereof, against and from any damages, claims, losses, obligations, costs, expenses, debts and liabilities (including, without limitation, attorney's fees) which arise from:

- a. Your access to or usage of the Website
- b. Violation of any term of the Cookie Policy, the Privacy Policy or the Agreement by you.
- c. Violation of third party rights, including but not limited to property, copyright or contractual right, by you.

The obligations in terms of indemnification and defense detailed in this section 12 are to remain in force beyond the end of your Contract Term and your usage of the Website. You accept and agree that it is your duty to defend us against any such claim, and that we may require that you pay for an attorney or attorneys of our choosing in any such case. You agree and accept that this indemnity includes our requiring you to pay for our reasonable attorney fees, disbursements and court costs. In the event of claims such as those described here, we may choose to settle with any party or parties who bring a claim, and you shall remain liable for damages as if a trial had proceeded.

#### 12. Miscellaneous.

- 12.1. Lease Agreement. Service Provider leased certain premises in the Facility from the Facility's owner ("Owner") pursuant to a lease agreement ("Lease"). Pursuant to the Lease. Service Provider has the right to execute and enter into this Agreement for certain space located within the Facility. Customer is not a party to or a beneficiary under the Lease and has no rights thereunder.
- 12.2. Representations. The parties have not made or relied upon any representations, understandings, or other agreements not specifically set forth in this Agreement.
- 12.3. Amendment. Amendments, modifications, or supplements to this Agreement must be in writing signed by authorized representatives of both parties.
- 12.4. Assignment. Neither this Agreement nor any right or obligation arising under this Agreement may be assigned by Customer in whole or in part, without the prior written consent of Service Provider. Service Provider may at any time assign, transfer, delegate or subcontract any or all of its rights or obligations under this Agreement without Customer's prior written consent. Subject to the restrictions on assignment of this Agreement, this Agreement shall be

binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties, their legal representatives, successors, and assigns.

- 12.5. Force Majeure. Neither party shall be liable in any way for delay, failure in performance, loss or damage due to any of the following force majeure conditions; fire, strike, embargo, explosion, power failure, flood, lightning, war, water, electrical storms, labor disputes, civil disturbances, governmental requirements, acts of civil or military authority, acts of God, acts of public enemies, inability to secure replacement parts or materials, transportation facilities, or other causes beyond its reasonable control, whether or not similar to the foregoing. This also includes planned service and maintenance needs.
- 12.6. Venue. Any proceeding concerning this Agreement must be brought in a court in the city of Wilmington, Delaware and each of the parties hereby irrevocably consents to the exclusive jurisdiction of such courts.
- 12.7. Governing Law. This agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of Delaware.
- 12.8. Relationship of the Parties. The parties agree that their relationship hereunder is in the nature of independent contractors. Neither party shall be deemed to be the agent, partner, joint venturer or employee of the other, and neither shall have any authority to make any agreements or representations on the other's behalf. Each party shall be solely responsible for the payment of compensation, insurance and taxes of its own personnel, and such personnel are not entitled to the provisions of any employee benefits from the other party. Neither party shall have any authority to make any agreements or representations on the other's behalf without the other's written consent. Additionally, Service Provider shall not be responsible for any costs and expenses arising from Customer's performance of its duties and obligations pursuant to this Agreement.
- 12.9. Interpretation. Any rule of construction to the effect that ambiguities are to be resolved against the drafting party shall not apply to the interpretation and construction of this Agreement, and this Agreement shall be construed as having been jointly drafted by the parties. The titles and headings for particular paragraphs, sections and subsections of this Agreement have been inserted solely for reference purposes and shall not be used to interpret or construe the terms of this Agreement.
- 12.10. Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts, each of which shall be deemed an original, but which together shall constitute one and the same document.

#### **13.** Communication

Communication between you and us is to be made in writing, including email and alternative electronic messaging formats as and when required; support requests may submitted at https://support.vbittech.com/hc/en-us/requests/new

#### **14. Data Collection and Protection**

You agree that your personal data may be processed in the context of the Agreement for the purposes described within it. You also agree that we may store this data beyond the end of the Contract Term until you specifically revoke our permission to do so. If we are required to collect any further data from you in the context of providing services to you, you agree to provide the necessary data to us without delay.

## 15. Warranty and Representation

You state and confirm to us that you possess knowledge of cryptocurrencies such as Bitcoin and are familiar with the mining process. You also state that you are familiar with the nature and usage of mining these cryptocurrencies. You acknowledge that the responsibility for maintaining your own software and hardware in order to access and make use of the Services is yours, and yours alone.

We do not warrant or guarantee that the Services will be profitable at any time. You agree and accept that you cannot make any claim against us for any amount of Coins under the terms of this Agreement, and we do not warrant or guarantee value of any output whatsoever. Additionally, you acknowledge that the value of any Coins mined is dependent on the actual price when compared to any given cryptocurrency/fiat. This is the concept of "Market Price Volatility" and is termed as such.

Furthermore, you state and confirm that you are the beneficial owner of all Coins generated. The content of our Website is provided on the basis of general informational use. The content does not constitute advice, whether formal or informal. We undertake to make reasonable effort to ensure all information listed on our Website is up to date and relevant, but do not make any guarantee, warranty or representation, implied or expressly, that the content of the Website is up to date or complete at any time.

#### 16. Card and Payment Processing

The provisions detailed here apply only to the extent that Services are purchased via debit or credit card.

You acknowledge and agree that if we are unable to continue service provision at any time during the period of your Contract Term, our maximum liability to you is the amount you paid to us at the time our service to you commenced, minus all payments made to you by us up to and including the date that service provision ceased.

We make use of third-party services, and the services of the affiliates of these third parties. Our usage of these third party services enables you to make monetary deposits and transfer payments within our Website by making use of the credit or debit card details you have provided to us, hereby termed as "the Card Services", and "the Card Service Provider"). These Card Services include no additional services, and as such do not include cryptocurrency deposit or provision for your account.

We may transfer and share - including transfer across borders - your personal information with the Card Service Provider. This is done for the purpose of performing the Card Services made to our website through the use of your debit or credit card. Your personal information is shared with the Card Service provider only after you choose to carry out monetary transactions by using the Card Service Provider's Card Services. For the purposes of Section 8, your personal information includes information that can or does identify you as an individual, including the

information you submitted to us during the registration process. This may include your location and email address, and/or any additional information you may have provided at the point of registration or during your usage of our services.

Additionally, we may transfer non personal data which you have, through your use of our services or your use of our website, provided to us. This non personal data may be used by the Card Service Provider to verify your status and provide authorization for you to make use of the Card Services of the Card Service Provider. The non-personal data may include your transaction history on the website. This data will be provided without any information which can identify you and is used only for the Card Service Provider's examination and assessment.

By your acceptance of these terms, you state and confirm that all information you provide to us is accurate and correct. The provision of knowingly fraudulent or false information, or the fraudulent use of our Services or the Card Services provided to you, is expressly prohibited. You are not obliged by law to provide us or the Card Service provider with any data whatsoever. You therefore state and agree that you provide us and the Card Service Provider with your personal data freely and willingly, for the purpose of obtaining use of our services, and the services of the Card Service Provider.

By making use of the Card Services, you state and agree that you are prohibited from withdrawing any amounts that you have deposited or are entitled to due to the performance of the Services, for a period of thirty two days.

In the event that a payment by you results in material issues including without limitation charge backs, we are entitled to permanently retain all current and future proceeds from Mining Output.

#### 17. **Intellectual Property**

Our Website, along with its design and images, writings, templates, text, graphics, scripts, features, logos or trademarks contained within (termed as "Marks") are all licensed to or owned by us, and are subject to intellectual property and copyright laws both of the United States and international conventions. We reserve all rights in and to the website, including those not expressly granted. You agree that you shall not engage in the usage, distribution or copying of any contents or components of the Website without our express permission, in writing. Our Website may contain trademarks or service marks of affiliate or other companies, and these may take the form of logos, graphics or text. Your usage of the Website does not provide you with the license or right to make any use of these service or trademarks unless prior permission is obtained from the corresponding owner of these trade or service marks. Our Website is protected under international copyright law. Redistributing, copying or publishing any part of this Website by you is prohibited. Your usage of our Website does not entitle you to any stake of ownership of the Website.

#### 18. **Severability**

Should any provision of term of this Agreement be found unlawful, conflicting or enforceable in any other way, the remainder of the Agreement is to remain in force on the same terms as if the unenforceable provision had not been included at inception. If two or more terms of this Agreement or another Agreement you hold with us are deemed to be in conflict with each other, we reserve the right to choose which provision stays in force.

#### 19. Non-Waiver

All rights permitted to us by this Agreement, as well as any additional rights permitted by applicable law, are reserved by us. If we choose not to enforce any provision of this Agreement or any applicable law, this does not constitute a waiver of our right to enforce the same provision should different or the same circumstances occur at any future time.

### 20. Assignment and Survival

You are not permitted to assign your obligations and/or rights under the Agreement to any other party or entity without getting written consent from us. We reserve the right to assign our obligations and/or rights under this Agreement at our discretion to any other entity or party. All aspects of the Agreement that would be reasonably believed to survive termination remain in force after termination including without limitation the Representation and Warranties, Licensing, Arbitration, Indemnification and Limitation of Liabilities sections.

21. **Whole Agreement** This Agreement, the Addendum, and any documents referenced in this Agreement represent the whole Agreement between the parties and is a final, complete and exclusive statement of the terms of this Agreement. No course of prior dealing between the parties shall be relevant or admissible to supplement, explain, or vary any of the terms of this Agreement.

By signing this agreement, you agree to the terms as described above. Alterations to this agreement can only be made by Service Provider for reasonable cause and Customer must be notified in writing. Both parties will receive a copy of this agreement and will be responsible for upholding its terms.

#### Customer

Signature: Redacted

Name (Print): Redacted

Date: Redacted